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Abstract

The purpose of this work is to evaluate the contribution of audio-visual prosody to the perception of complex mental states of virtual actors. We propose that global audio-visual prosodic contours - i.e. melody, rhythm and head movements over the utterance - constitute discriminant features for both the generation and recognition of social attitudes. The hypothesis is tested on an acted corpus of social attitudes in virtual actors and evaluation is done using objective measures and perceptual tests.
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1 Introduction

This study represents preliminary work towards expressive audio-visual speaker conversion. Given a context in which speaker A creates a performance in an affective state X, we want to develop approaches to convert it to an expressive performance of speaker B, realised in affective state Y. While in previous work [Barbulescu et al. 2013] we have studied the conversion between speakers A and B, this paper approaches the problem of expressivity conversion and investigates the contribution of different prosodic parameters in expressive generation and recognition.

The term of prosody was initially introduced to denominate a general set of functions that convey the style in which speech is presented, such as intonation, rhythm or stress. As the combination between acoustic and visual cues has been shown to improve the perception of speech [Summerfield 1992], the term was generalized to include visual information. Therefore the concept of audio-visual prosody [Krahmer and Swerts 2009] refers to the use of multimodal cues for signaling and perceiving linguistic, paralinguistic and non-linguistic functions in social communication.

The proper generation of expressive audio-visual prosody is an important factor in the perception of expressive speech animations. This topic is situated at the crossroads between computer graphics, vision and speech research areas, and it has received a considerable amount of interest as animated characters are now indispensable components of computer games, movies as well as smart human-computer interfaces.

In a majority of research papers [Zeng et al. 2009], expressivity is defined in terms of limited sets of categorical emotional states or using multi-dimensional emotional models such as the well-known Pleasure-Arousal-Dominance model (PAD). However, an expressive speech is expected to encode complex mental states, which usually go beyond the basic set of emotional states [Ekman 1992]. Scherer proposes that affective expression in speech communications happens either involuntarily (expression of emotion) or voluntarily (expression of attitude)[Scherer and Ellgring 2007]. Similar proposals have been done in the domain of intonation; Bolinger notably states: "Intonation [is] a nonarbitrary, sound-symbolic system with intimate ties to facial expression and bodily gesture, and conveying, underneath it all, emotions and attitudes... [it is] primarily a symptom of how we feel about what we say, or how we feel when we say" [Bolinger 1989]. Social attitudes (example: comforting, doubtful, ironic etc) directly concern carrier speech acts. These attitudes are highly conventionalized - entirely part of the language and the speech communication system - and socio-culturally built. If the production and evaluation of emotional content is highly dynamical, we will also show that social attitudes are also encoded into signals via complex dynamical multimodal patterns.

For this study, we choose a discrete set of social attitudes to high-
light interactive dimensions of face-to-face communication in real-
istic social contexts. Related work on perception and production of
audio-visual prosody [De Moraes et al. 2010][Rilliard et al. 2008]
has been carried with the goal of explaining the contribution of each
modality for attitude recognition. Besides analyzing the influence
of each modality, we study the contribution of specific prosodic
parameters by objective measurements and analyze the correlation
between these objective metrics and perceptual tests.

Most of the existing approaches to acoustic affect recognition and
generation use spectral (MFCC, cepstral features) and prosodic fea-
tures (related to pitch, energy, speech rate). Among these, pitch
and energy are most used in affect recognition systems [Zeng et al.
2009]. Statistical models based on durations and F0 contours are
used in neutral to expressive speech conversion [Tao et al. 2006]
[Inanoglu and Young 2007]. The global statistical patterns of pitch
are studied in [Vroomen et al. 1993] proving that affective states
can be expressed accurately by manipulating pitch and duration in
a rule-based way. Rule-based generation of animated dialog is also
studied in [Cassell et al. 1994]. Recent studies have shown that
specific visual cues such as head and eyebrow movements are cor-
related with pitch contours [Yehia et al. 2000]. This correlation is
exploited in [Busso et al. 2007]. [Chuang and Bregler 2005] and
[Ben Youssef et al. 2013] to synthesize head motion for expressive
speech animation.

Our work is preliminary to prosody generation as we first want to
quantify the importance of the proposed prosodic parameters: melo-
dy, rhythm and head movement. We focus on a theoretical
framework which proposes the size of the utterance as a dependent
factor.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes our exper-
imental setup, based on the paradigm of "exercises in style" [Que-
neau 2013] [Madden 2005]. Section 3 summarizes the methods
we used to add expressive contents to neutral audio-visual perfor-
ances. Subjective evaluations of the performances are presented
in Section 4. Section 5 presents experimental results with subjective
and objective evaluations of synthetic audio-visual performances
obtained with our methods. Section 6 discusses those results and
proposes directions for future work.

2 Theoretical framework and experimental
data

Our study is based on the theoretical approach described in [Morlec
et al. 2001], which proposes that prosodic information is encoded
via global multiparametric contours that are organized as prototy-
pical shapes depending on the length of the carrier part of speech (i.e.
number of syllables). This model of intonation builds on the semi-
nal work of Fónagy who first put forward the existence of prototyp-
ical melodic patterns in French for expressing attitudes, the so-
called "melodic clichés" [Fónagy et al. 1983]. Aubergé and Bailly
[Aubergé and Bailly 1995] proposed a more general framework that
supposes that metalinguistic functions associated with various
linguistic units are encoded via elementary global multiparametric
contours that are coextensive to these units. The multiparametric
prosodic contour of an utterance is then built by superposing and
adding these elementary contours by parameter-specific operators.
The SFC model [Bailly and Holm 2005] proposes a method for ex-
tracting these elementary multiparametric contours from arbitrary
utterances given the set of attitudes and their scopes. This model
supposes that the set of training utterances randomly samples the
possible functions and the positions, lengths and numbers of their
overlapping contributions. As we focus on analyzing audio-visual
prosody, this theoretical model is extended for the joint modelling
of melody, the pattern of syllabic lengthening and head motion tra-
jectories.

As emphasized above, the extraction of prosodic shapes requires
sufficient statistical coverage of the metalinguistic functions at var-
ed positions and scope sizes. We have therefore designed and
recorded an acted corpus of "pure" social attitudes, i.e. isolated
sentences carrying only one attitude over the entire utterance.

2.1 Selected attitudes

A starting point for the possible attitudes considered was the Baron-
Cohen’s Mind Reading project [Baron-Cohen 2003]. The taxon-
omy proposed in this work gathers a total of 412 emotions grouped
under 24 main categories, each comprising several layers of subex-
pressions. Due to the complexity of the taxonomy, we choose a
limited number of attitudes which are possibly expressed in one se-
lected act from the play “Reigen” by Arthur Schnitzler [Schnitzler
1993], translated in French by Maurice Rémon et Wilhelm Bauer.
This play nicely consists in a series of seduction pitches during
dyadic face-to-face conversation. The set of short turns exhibit a
large variety of emotions and attitudes, intimately associated with
the verbal content.

Table 1 contains the list of attitudes we decided to study, to which
the three basic modalities have been added: assertion (DC), ex-
clamation (EX) and full question (QS)(see table 2). The corre-
spontance to the Mind Reading emotional set is indicated and in-
structions given to actors to perform the attitudes are also included.
Video snapshots of chosen social attitudes are illustrated in figure 2.

2.2 Corpus

Two semi-professional actors recorded two corpora under the active
supervision of one director:

- dialogs: The two actors played the selected scene in a neutral
  and an expressive way.
- monologs: The director and each actor recorded 35 sentences
  uttered with each of the selected 16 attitudes. These sentences
  were selected from the target scene so that to span the distri-
  bution of lengths of sentences in the final text (from one syl-

Figure 2: Examples of attitudes interpreted by Actor 2.
Table 1: Description of chosen social attitudes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Abbr.</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kind</td>
<td>Comforting</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Making people feel less worried, unhappy or insecure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond</td>
<td>Liking</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Finding something or someone appealing and pleasant; being fond of something or someone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romantic</td>
<td>Seductive</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Physically attractive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interested</td>
<td>Fascinated</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>Very curious about and interested in something that you find attractive or impressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanting</td>
<td>Jealous</td>
<td>JE</td>
<td>Feeling resentment against someone because of that person’s rivalry, success, or advantages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking</td>
<td>Thoughtful</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>Thinking deeply or seriously about something</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbelieving</td>
<td>Incredulous</td>
<td>DI</td>
<td>Unwilling or unable to believe something</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfriendly</td>
<td>Sarcastic</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Using words to convey a meaning that is the opposite of its literal meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprised</td>
<td>Scandalized</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Shocked or offended by someone else’s improper behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprised</td>
<td>Dazed</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>So shocked and stunned that you can’t think clearly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorry</td>
<td>Responsible</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>Feeling that you are the cause of something that has happened and must therefore take the blame for its affects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurt</td>
<td>Confronted</td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Approached in a critical or threatening way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorry</td>
<td>Embarrassed</td>
<td>EM</td>
<td>Worried about what other people will think of you</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Attitudes added.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Abbr.</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declarative</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Making a declaration; neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclamative</td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>Making an exclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interrogative</td>
<td>QS</td>
<td>Making an interrogation; question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The recording session began with an intensive training of the actors, who received scenic indications from the theater director. The training consisted in fully understanding the interpreted attitudes and developing the ability to dissociate the affective state imposed by each attitude and the meanings of the phrases and to maintain a constant voice modulation, specific for each attitude, throughout uttering the 35 phrases. The actors did not receive any instruction related to head movements. The same set of sentences are then recorded by the director himself.

2.3 Recordings

The synchronized recording of voice signals and motion are performed by the commercial system Faceshift 1 with a short-range Kinect camera and a Lavalier microphone. Faceshift enables the creation of a customized user profile consisting of a 3D face mesh and an expression model characterised by a set of predefined blend-shapes that correspond to facial expressions (smile, eye blink, brows up, jaw open etc). The sampling rate for audio is 44.1 kHz. Recordings are done in front of the camera, while seated, without additional markers such that the acting is not constrained. The use of Faceshift requires soft, non-saturated light conditions. Therefore, the recordings are done in a sound-proof, uniformly illuminated studio.

For the monologs, the actors were asked to utter the set of 35 sentences for each attitude in raw. Due to the nature of the desired social attitudes and to the eye gaze tracker, the actors perform as if they are addressing to a person standing in front of them, at the same height. For the dialogs, the actors sat in front of each other across a table, where two kinect cameras were laying.

2.4 Annotation and characterization

All utterances were automatically aligned with their phonetic transcription obtained by an automatic text-to-speech phonetizer [Bailly et al. 1991]. The linguistic analysis (part-of-speech tagging, syllabation), the phonetic annotation and the automatic estimation of melody were further checked and corrected by hand using a speech analysis software [Boersma 2002]. Figure 3 presents a snapshot of Praat and the video frames associated to the annotated utterance.

Figure 3: Illustration of Praat usage for phonetic annotation of a the phrase: “Toute la salle était emballée.” uttered by Actor 1 with the attitude Question. The contour highlighted over the spectrogram represents the F0 trajectory. The rising of the contour towards the end of phrase is characteristic for interrogative phrases. Every eight video frames are selected from the performance and they illustrate the production of sounds: /t/, /a/, /e/, /a~i/ and /e/ respectively.
The subjects’ performances are then characterized by the following parameters associated with each syllable:

- **Melody**: When the vocalic nucleus of the syllable is voiced, we sample the F0 contour of this vowel at three timestamps: 20%, 50% and 80% of its duration. The three values are left unspecified otherwise.
- **Rhythm**: A lengthening/shortening factor is computed by considering an elastic model of the syllable. This model that the syllable compress/expand according to the elasticity of its segmental constituents. Contingent pauses are included in the model by saturating the elastic lengthening [Barbosa and Bailly 1994].
- **Head movements**: We sample the head movements of the vocalic nucleus of the syllable at three timestamps: 20%, 50% and 80% of its duration. Principal component analysis is applied to rotation and translation and only the first three components are kept for further analysis (explaining up to 80% of the information contained). Note that we considered one silent syllable (250 ms) before each utterance to gather preparatory head movements of prior to speech production.

### 2.5 Animation

As explained in the previous section, performances are recorded using a microphone and a Kinect camera. We synchronously collected audio, 2D (RGB images) and 3D data. Along with information regarding blendshapes, eye gaze and head movements, Faceshift can also generate RGB textures for each expression scanned during the training phrase.

We rendered the 3D performances of the actors using Blender 2.5. This rendering consisted in morphing static face textures on the facial mesh animated by the blendshapes estimated by Faceshift. A simple mode of the neck deformation with fixed torso is applied (video sample of an expressive animated dialog between the two actors 3). Figure 4 presents examples of rendered frames for different attitudes.

![Figure 4: Top: video images, bottom: rendered frames for animated performances for the respective attitudes: Seductive, Jealous, Scandalized, Thinking and Question.](image)

### 3 Expressive Synthesis

One approach to evaluate the proposed prosodic features is by copying the time structure, pitch contours and head movements from the emotional performance samples onto declarative performances. We synthesize expressive versions of performances by simply substituting prosodic parameters of the DC version with prosodic parameters of the target expressive version. Blendshapes trajectories - notably facial displays such as eyebrows, eye and lip movements - are kept from the DC version and only time-warped to comply with the target rhythm and syllabic durations.

#### Acoustic synthesis

Prosodic manipulation of the DC stimuli is performed by Time-Domain Pitch-Synchronous Overlap-and-Add (TD-PSOLA) technique that consists in controlling pitch and duration of sounds by moving, deleting or duplicating short-time signals [Moulines and Charpentier 1990].

#### Visual synthesis

Synthesized blendshapes and eye gaze are obtained by computing a Dynamic Time Warping path [Berndt and Clifford 1994] as we know the phoneme durations of DC and the analyzed attitude, and applying it to the movements of DC. The head movements are generated by interpolating between the movements at syllable landmarks of the analyzed attitude. In the interpolation step, movements are computed for 30 points per second to match the visual framerate. Rotations are used in quaternion representation so we use cubic interpolation (SQUAD) [Eberly 2001] to smoothly interpolate over a path of quaternions. This method is build upon the spherical linear interpolation (slerp) [Shoemake 1985]:

\[
slerp(q_1, q_2, t) = \frac{\sin(1-t)\theta}{\sin \theta} q_1 + \frac{\sin t\theta}{\sin \theta} q_2
\]

\[
SQUAD(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, t) = slerp(slerp(q_1, q_4, t), slerp(q_2, q_3, t), 2t(1-t))
\]

where \(q_i\) represent quaternions, \(\theta\) is obtained by computing the dot product between quaternions and \(t\) is the desired interpolation position.

Translations are obtained using cubic spline interpolation. Examples of synthesized trajectories are given in Figure 5 (video sample of animations obtained from original and synthesized from neutral performances 4).

### 4 Subjective evaluation

For evaluation purposes, a series of subjective and objective tests are conducted on for three separate modalities: audio, visual and audio-visual. All subjective evaluations consist in forced-choice identification tests. Subjects are asked to label heard and/or viewed performances with one of the 16 attitudes.

#### 4.1 Auto-evaluation

The persons who participated in the recording of our corpus (the two actors and director) are asked to perform an auto-evaluation test in which they were asked to label samples from their own performances, a few days after having been recorded. They are presented with random sets of 32 performances for each modality, which they can play several times. No explanation is given for the labels. Table 3 shows the accuracy rates for all performers on all modalities used:

The audio channel seems to encode slightly less discriminant information than the video channel. Note however that the audio/video hierarchy is strongly attitude-dependent. Overall, the recognition rate is high above the chance level (6.25%) and in the case of the

---

1http://www.blender.org/
2http://youtu.be/BjBmC7l
3http://youtu.be/Se_F26tg6-s/
4http://youtu.be/BjBmC7l
actors, is generally higher than 60%. Actor 2 has the highest consistency. We thus choose performances made by this female speaker for a crowdsourcing subjective test.

4.2 Evaluation of original material

Eighty anonymous participants accessed the evaluation test which was carried using a normal web browser. Before starting the test, user information is collected, of which the most important is whether french is the mother tongue. Participants were given the definitions of all the attitudes and asked to identify the attitudes performed by the chosen actor (Actor 2) using audio files, video-only files and audio-video files. For each modality, the participants have to label 32 random performances. Each set is obtained by a random function which picks 2 performances from each attitude with the condition of not retrieving identical adjacent phrases. Performances last up to 5 seconds and can be played several times until they are labeled. Only the answers provided by native speakers are taken into account. After test completion, users have the option of leaving comments. Evaluation tests took on average 20 minutes to be completed.

The test containing original material consists in original audio files and video files obtained from sequences of 2D images which are synchronized with sound. Confusion matrices are built based on the choices made in the subjective tests for each modality. For representation of attitudes, we use the abbreviations indicated in Tables 1 and 2. The recognition rates for all modalities are presented in Table 4.

The best recognized attitudes are: Interrogative (QS), Seductive (SE), Thinking (TH) and Unfriendly-Sarcastic (US), while the lowest result values are obtained for: Exclamative (EX), Jealous (JE), Doubt-Incredulity (DI) and Hurt-Confrunted (HC). Except for EX, most attitudes have a recognition score high above chance level (0.0625%). Declarative (DC) also presents low scores as it was confused with EX and RE in all modalities. According to the comments left by users, the meaning of the HC attitude was not well understood, thus explaining the low score obtained. For this reason and for the fact that many users considered the test was too long, HC is removed from the set of attitudes in the following tests. A factor which may explain why for some attitudes (DC, JE, RE, HC) the scores obtained for video-only were higher than the ones including audio cues is the difficulty of dissociating the attitude from the semantic content of the carrier sentence.

4.3 Evaluation of animation

As the main focus of our work involves animated performances, a necessary step is the evaluation of animations obtained using data from original performances. Our expectation is that the level of recognition will be lower than in the case of the original video sources. This loss of expressivity has been studied in works as [Afzal et al. 2009] and in our case may be due to several causes:
systematical errors of the data capture system, inability of capturing very fine facial expressions, audio-visual data synchronisation, unrealistic effects of the face texture, complex nature of the attitudes studied etc. The audio data is original as in the previous section.

Forty two anonymous participants were recruited to label performances via an online test. The performances are obtained using the original audio files and animations obtained from the original blendshape, eye gaze and head movement values. The total number of attitudes is 15 and each participant has to label 30 performances per modality. Tables 5, 6 and 7 show the confusion matrices obtained.

Table 5: Confusion matrix obtained for audio-only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DC</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>QS</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>FL</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>TH</th>
<th>DI</th>
<th>SH</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>EM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Confusion matrix obtained for video-only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DC</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>QS</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>FL</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>TH</th>
<th>DI</th>
<th>SH</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>EM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly to the previous test, DC is confused with EX and RE in all modalities, leading to small recognition rate for DC. The best recognition scores are obtained by QS, SE, SS and EM, especially for the modalities which include audio cues. As expected, the biggest difference between the scores obtained in this test and the ones from the previous test are caused by a general lower recognition rate for the video-only modality.

4.4 Evaluation of expressive synthesis

The last subjective experiment evaluates the relevance of the characteristics of the audio-visual prosody we chose to extract and control. We used the approaches presented in Section 3 to synthesize expressive performances for all modalities. Two modal attitudes are removed in order to shorten the test duration: EX and QS. Up to the time of this submission, thirteen native speakers participated in the online evaluation test and were presented a total of 26 performances per modality. Table 8 shows the recognition scores obtained for all attitudes:

Table 8: Recognition rates obtained for the online test on synthesized performances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audio</th>
<th>Video</th>
<th>Audio-Video</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JE</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The best recognition rates are obtained by FA, TH, SS and EM, showing results higher than chance level (7.69%). As expected, DC has a low recognition rate as it was confused with all other attitudes for all modalities. Attitudes such as SE and RE were not recognized at all for the video-only modality. This proves that the chosen prosodic parameters are more discriminant for certain attitudes; for example, there are distinguishable head movement patterns (for FA and TH, there is a tendency in rising the head) or speech patterns (for SS the rhythm is much faster than in EM, and the pitch is higher). However, for more subtle attitudes such as SE, JE and RE, the visual cues rely more on eye gaze and eyebrow movements. As commented by a few participants in the study, confusion is induced by the neutral appearance of the eye gaze and expressions generated in the upper part of the face.

5 Objective evaluation

The objective evaluation refers to inter-class distances between all attitudes. These are computed for all modalities considering as metric the euclidian distance between the prosodic parameters for
The accuracy rates for all modalities and tests performed are presented in Table 11. The recognition rates for the three crowdsourced tests for the Audio-Video modality are presented in figure 6. Depending on the material used for each crowdsourced test we will consider the following notations: Material 1 for original video performances (Section 4.2), Material 2 for original animated performances (Section 4.3) and Material 3 for synthesized animated performances (Section 4.4).

Table 11: Accuracy rates obtained for all modalities and tests for Actor 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test type</th>
<th>Audio</th>
<th>Video</th>
<th>Audio-Video</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auto-evaluation</td>
<td>78.12%</td>
<td>81.25%</td>
<td>78.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material 1</td>
<td>30.98%</td>
<td>35.47%</td>
<td>36.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material 2</td>
<td>26.00%</td>
<td>17.73%</td>
<td>31.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material 3</td>
<td>15.58%</td>
<td>11.65%</td>
<td>16.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>30.60%</td>
<td>24.14%</td>
<td>40.95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We notice that the accuracy rates for objective test and the subjective where the original audio and video were used are comparable only for the audio channel. The subjective tests reveal general higher recognition rates for original video and lower rates for synthesized from neutral performances. A higher recognition in the test using original video means that we need to include other visual parameters. Considering only head movements is not sufficient to obtain an objective signature of our set of social attitudes. F0 and rhythm are sufficient for obtaining discriminating audio contours.

The correlation between the objective and perceptual confusions on different modalities may help determining if the chosen audio-visual characteristics can explain the perceptual judgements and how much of the variance of the perceptual results they capture. The correlations between the objective and subjective confusion matrices are computed using the Pearson coefficients:

$$ R_{i,j} = \frac{C_{i,j}}{\sqrt{C_{i,i}C_{j,j}}} \quad (4) $$

where $C$ is the covariance matrix of $[CM_{online} \ CM_{objective}]$ and $CM$ are the two confusion matrices.

Figure 7 presents the coefficient values obtained between each of the online perceptual tests and the objective test for all attitudes:

The first caption suggests correlations for all modalities between the results of the objective test and the recognition scores given by the first online test. Attitudes that are well correlated are: DC, QS,
Figure 7: For each attitude, the bars represent the correlation coefficients obtained for audio, video and audio-video.

FL, SE, US. Video-only presents a general correlation higher than 0.5, except for EX, JE, DI, EM which have low correlations for all modalities. Audio-only has very strong correlations with DC and QS (higher than 0.9), proving that the F0 shapes have a high influence on the auditory perception of attitude.

The second caption presents correlations between the objective test and the second online test. The correlations obtained in the audio-only modality are very similar to the ones obtained in the previous test, which is expected, as both experiments use random samples of original audio-data. The video-only correlations are generally lower for this test, showing that perceptual recognition scores are degraded overall. Audio-visual correlations are also similar to the ones obtained in the previous test.

The third online test presents much lower correlations with the objective test; strong correlations exist only for DC for all modalities, then for CF and US for audio-only and TH, DI and US for audio-visual. The low scores obtained on the third experiment (see Table 11) show that modifying the proposed parameters is not sufficient for obtaining an acceptable perceptive score for all attitudes. Therefore it is necessary to include more prosodic features - more subtle and surely more segment-dependent - in our analysis.

7 Conclusion

We have examined the contribution of audio-visual prosodic parameters to the evaluation of expressive performances. The prosodic features studied are voice pitch, audio-visual rhythm and head motion. Our dataset of social attitudes is validated through auto-evaluation and crowdsourcing evaluation tests. Using objective measures and perceptual tests, we have proved that the parameters reveal distinguishable attitude characteristics. Comparable recognition scores for perceptive tests and objective measures show that F0 and rhythm are sufficient for obtaining attitude signatures, especially for Question, Fond-Liking, Disbelieving-Incredulous and Surprised-Scandalized. However, considering only head motion as video cues is not sufficient for attitude recognition.

The lower scores obtained when using animations show that they can be improved (texture, eye and lips movements). Another reason for obtaining lower scores in the perceptual tests may be the amount and nature of the attitudes studied, which require a good understanding of their definitions. When evaluating audio data, participants dissociate from the meaning of the sentence with difficulty.

The test using synthesized data shows that there are more parameters needed to better exploit the signatures of the recorded attitudes. At the acoustic level such parameters are intensity and timbre. For visual cues the first parameters we will look into eye gaze and eyebrow movements.

Future work will include further analysis of the new prosodic features, improvement of the animation platform and the development of the prosodic model sustained by the theoretical framework described in Section 2. The visual prosody model will be extended to other parameters including eye movements, eye blinks, eyebrow movements and related FACS parameters. Idiosyncracies will also be studied with the goal of building person-specific models of prosodic contours to enable the expression transfer across speakers and utterances. This study represents an initial step towards audio-video expressive speaker conversion, ultimately targeting numerous applications within the domains of video games, intelligent user interfaces and expressive speech animations.
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